UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,439	12/12/2003	Guy Drouin	87424.1700	2325
BAKER & HO	7590 04/11/200 STETLER LLP	EXAMINER		
Suite 1100	uara.	LOBO, IAN J		
Washington Square 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/733,439	DROUIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	lan J. Lobo	3662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-25 is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or	n from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/733,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-17 in the reply filed on January 22, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examiner has (a) not shown that a search of all the pending claims would be a serious burden since the examiner has the disposal of powerful search engines, and (b) that the claims are not independent and distinct. This is not found persuasive because with respect to (a) above it is irrelevant whether the examiner has powerful search engines or not. Searching the two different inventions would be a serious burden since there would be two different inventions being searched and analyzed. With respect to (b) above, the examiner has shown that the inventions are distinct and the fact that cement borehole logging cannot be found anywhere in applicant's specification is irrelevant.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,439 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

It is noted that in claim 12, the paragraph that claims the "hub controller" recites signals demodulated by plural receivers. There is no antecedent language to plural receivers in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guichard et al ('167).

Per claims 1 and 17, Guichard et al discloses an ultrasonic transmitter (see col. 2, lines 26-29). The transmitter includes a power supply (col. 3, lines 66-68), a frequency oscillator (4), a microcontroller assembly that includes an encoder (2), a serializer (3) and a micro-modulator (5) and an ultrasonic transducer (11).

Per claim 12, see Fig. 2b.

Dependent claims 2-11 and 13-16 are further provided by the structure disclosed in Guichard et al.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3662

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian J. Lobo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/lan J. Lobo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662